



Christopher D. Wolf

Partner

T: (410) 783-6442

F: (410) 783-6498

cdwolf@nilesbarton.com

Christopher D. Wolf represents condominiums, homeowners' associations and cooperatives in Maryland in the areas of dispute resolution, construction defects, governance issues and assessment collection.

Christopher practices in the Litigation Department, concentrating in insurance coverage, first-party property matters and third-party litigation. His experience includes insurance fraud investigation, such as arson and staged auto occurrences, and tort defenses. He has presented to various insurance companies on fraud issues, adjustment of insurance losses involving condominiums, and other insurance law topics.

Christopher has advised community associations on covenant enforcement, drafting bylaws and contract negotiations, as well as litigation and mediation. He has also represented HOA's and Condominiums in liability disputes and administrative hearings involving governmental agencies.

Christopher practices in the state and federal courts of Maryland and Pennsylvania. He has spoken at community association industry seminars and is a member of the Community Associations Institute.

Bar Admissions

Maryland
Pennsylvania

Education

J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of Baltimore School of Law, 2000

J.D. in Justice System Services, *Magna Cum Laude*, Temple University, 1997

Related Practice Areas

Condominium and HOA

Construction Defects

Insurance

Litigation

Serious Bodily Injury/Wrongful Death

Special Loss Investigations

Subrogation

Associations

- Maryland State Bar Association Member, Real Property Section
- Pennsylvania Bar Association
- Community Associations Institute (CAI)
- Maryland Defense Counsel, Inc.
- DRI

Accolades

- Maryland *Super Lawyers*, Rising Star in Business Litigation in 2014
- 2023, 2024, and 2025 editions of *The Best Lawyers in America*[®], Insurance Law

News & Insights

- 2024 edition of The Best Lawyers in America[®] and the Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch in America[™]
- Impact of PA Supreme Court's Decision Regarding Stacking of UM/UIM Coverage has Become Much Broader